# Syntheses of Dihydroconduramines  $(\pm)$ -B-1,  $(\pm)$ -E-1, and  $(\pm)$ -F-1 via Diastereoselective Epoxidation of N‑Protected 4‑Aminocyclohex-2 en-1-ols

Méabh B. Brennan, Kristína Csatayová, Stephen G. Davies,\* Ai M. Fletcher, William D. Green, James A. Lee, Paul M. Roberts, Angela J. Russell, and Jam[es](#page-9-0) E. Thomson

Department of Chemistry, Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, United Kingdom

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-9-0)STRACT: [Diastereoselec](#page-9-0)tive syntheses of dihydroconduramines  $(\pm)$ -B-1,  $(\pm)$ -E-1, and  $(\pm)$ -F-1 have been achieved from N-protected 4aminocyclohex-2-en-1-ols via two complementary procedures for epoxidation as the key step. Treatment of either trans- or cis-4-Nbenzylaminocyclohex-2-en-1-ol with  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  and then *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (m-CPBA) resulted in initial formation of the corresponding ammonium species, followed by epoxidation on the face syn to the ammonium moiety exclusively; chemoselective N-benzylation then



provided either (1RS,2SR,3RS,4RS)- or (1RS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-2,3-epoxy-4-N,N-dibenzylaminocyclohexan-1-ol, respectively. Treatment of either trans- or cis-4-N,N-dibenzylaminocyclohex-2-en-1-ol with m-CPBA resulted in initial formation of the corresponding N-oxide, followed by epoxidation on the face syn to the hydroxyl group exclusively; reduction then provided either (1RS,2RS,3SR,4RS)- or an alternative route to (1RS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-2,3-epoxy-4-N,N-dibenzylaminocyclohexan-1-ol, respectively. In all cases,  $S_N2$ -type ring opening of these epoxides upon treatment with aqueous  $H_2SO_4$  proceeded by nucleophilic attack with inversion at C(2) preferentially, distal to the in situ formed ammonium moiety. Hydrogenolytic N-deprotection then gave the corresponding dihydroconduramines  $(\pm)$ -B-1,  $(\pm)$ -E-1, and  $(\pm)$ -F-1.

# ■ **INTRODUCTION**

Since the seminal report of Prileschajew in  $1909$ , stereospecific epoxidation of an olefin with a peracid has become a standard part of the organic chemist's synthetic arsenal. [Ar](#page-9-0)guably one of the most useful aspects of this reaction is its ability to be directed by an adjacent functional group within the substrate, resulting in a diastereoselective reaction.<sup>2</sup> Perhaps the most well-known example of this phenomenon is the use of an allylic hydroxyl functionality to direct the stere[o](#page-9-0)chemical course of epoxidation through formation of a hydrogen bond in the transition state.<sup>3,4</sup> Relatively fewer examples, however, have been reported with ureas,<sup>5</sup> sulfonamides,<sup>6</sup> carbamates,<sup>6-8</sup> amides,<sup>6,7,9–12</sup> [or](#page-9-0) protonated amines<sup>13–15</sup> as the directing groups, with the N−H proto[n](#page-9-0) in these syste[ms](#page-9-0) proposed to [act](#page-9-0) as the [hydrog](#page-9-0)en-bond donor (in th[e abse](#page-9-0)nce of an N−H proton, epoxidation under steric control results).<sup>6,16,17</sup> Within this area, we are currently engaged in a research program concerning the development and deployment [of e](#page-9-0)fficient methods to enable the diastereoselective epoxidation of a range of allylic amines.<sup>18</sup> We have reported two complementary methods to effect the formal anti-dihydroxylation of the olefin functionality within 3-[N](#page-9-0),N-dibenzylaminocyclohex-1-ene 1 in a diastereodivergent manner.<sup>19,20</sup> Sequential treatment of 1 with  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  (5 equiv) and m-CPBA (1.6 equiv) was shown to give trichloroacetate ester [4](#page-9-0) [i](#page-9-0)n 95:5 diastereoisomeric ratio (dr); 4 may be isolated or the crude reaction mixture may be treated with  $K_2CO_3$  in MeOH to give aminodiol 5 in quantitative yield and 95:5 dr (the minor diastereoisomeric product being  $9$ ).<sup>19</sup> This diastereoselectivity is consistent with a mechanism involving initial N-protection by protonation to give the correspo[nd](#page-9-0)ing ammonium species 2, with subsequent epoxidation proceeding syn to the ammonium moiety, under hydrogen-bonding control. Ring opening then occurs in situ, with high levels of regioselectivity for attack of trichloroacetate anion at  $C(1)$ , distal to the electron-withdrawing ammonium moiety where its destabilizing electron-withdrawing influence on the transition state is minimized.<sup>21,22</sup> This regioselectivity is also in accordance with the Fürst-Plattner rule.<sup>23</sup> Meanwhile, treatment of 1 with m-CPBA [\(1](#page-9-0).5 equiv) gave the corresponding N-oxide 6, which upon further [tre](#page-9-0)atment with  $m$ -CPBA (3 equiv) gave N-oxide epoxide 7 in 73:27 dr.<sup>20</sup> This stereochemical outcome is consistent with diastereoselective epoxidation of 6 occurring anti to the N-oxide moiety f[or s](#page-9-0)teric and/or electronic reasons. It was found, however, that addition of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  (10 equiv) to the reaction mixture had a beneficial effect on the diastereoselectivity of this epoxidation reaction, resulting in the production of 7 in 98:2 dr, although under these conditions regioselective ring opening occurred in situ by attack of trichloroacetate anion at  $C(1)$ , distal to the

Received: April 1, 2015 Published: May 5, 2015

# Scheme  $1^a$

Ammonium-directed epoxidation (hydrogen-bonding control):



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (i)  $\text{Cl}_{3}\text{CCO}_{2}\text{H}$  (5 equiv),  $\text{CH}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}$ , rt, 5 min. (ii) m-CPBA (1.6 equiv), rt, 21 h. (iii) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, rt, 16 h. (iv) m-CPBA (1.5 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 30 min. (v) Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H (10 equiv), m-CPBA (3 equiv), rt, 72 h. (vi) Zn, AcOH, rt, 18 h.

electron-withdrawing (protonated) N-oxide moiety, with subsequent treatment with  $K_2CO_3$  in MeOH and finally Zn in AcOH giving aminodiol 9 in 63% isolated yield and >99:1  $dr^{20}$  (Scheme 1).

This methodology has been exploited as one of the key steps in [th](#page-9-0)e syntheses of a range of natural and nonnatural imino $24,25$ and  $\arcsin^{26,27}$  sugars and other biologically interesting compounds.<sup>28</sup> In continuation of our synthetic endea[vors](#page-9-0) within this [area,](#page-9-0) we became interested in the trans- and cisdiastereoiso[me](#page-9-0)rs of N-protected 4-aminocyclohex-2-en-1-ols as substrates for these "ammonium-directed" <sup>19</sup> and "N-oxidedirected"<sup>20</sup> epoxidation reactions, in anticipation of being able to develop a means for their diastereodive[rge](#page-9-0)nt epoxidation. Ring ope[ni](#page-9-0)ng of the epoxide products of these reactions would lead to stereodefined 4-amino-1,2,3-triol motifs, which are key structural components in a range of natural and nonnatural products with desirable biological activities. The presence of two potential directing groups within these substrates requires a knowledge of their relative directing abilities for the epoxidation step (the group with higher directing ability is expected to dominate the stereochemical course of the reaction) in order that an appropriate strategy to facilitate a highly diastereoselective reaction can be developed. We have previously established that application of the conditions for "ammonium-directed" epoxidation $19$  to secondary N-benzyl-protected substrate trans-10 results in formation of a single epoxide product 12 in >[9](#page-9-0)5:5 dr.<sup>29</sup> This outcome is due to the far superior directing-group ability of the in situ formed secondary ammonium moiety withi[n](#page-9-0) 11 over the hydroxyl group, and it demonstrates that a highly diastereoselective reaction is possible in this manifold even when the two directing groups are acting in opposition.<sup>29</sup> Chemoselective N-benzylation of the crude reaction mixture facilitated the isolation of the corresponding N,N-di[ben](#page-9-0)zyl-protected epoxide 13 in 50% yield from 10 (Scheme 2).<sup>29</sup> We resolved to investigate the behavior of the diastereoisomeric N-benzyl-protected substrate cis-15 under the c[on](#page-9-0)ditions for "ammonium-directed"<sup>19</sup> reaction, as well as epoxidation of the diastereoisomeric N,Ndibenzyl-protected substrates trans-14 and cis-16 und[er](#page-9-0) conditions for "N-oxide-directed"<sup>20</sup> reaction. We report herein the results of these studies, which allowed the development of efficient methods for the direct, [di](#page-9-0)astereoselective preparation of three of the four possible diastereoisomers of the intermediate epoxide. Subsequent regioselective ring opening

Scheme  $2^a$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i)  $\text{Cl}_{3}\text{CCO}_{2}\text{H}$  (10 equiv),  $\text{CH}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}$ , rt, 5 min. (ii) *m*-CPBA (1.6 equiv), rt, 3.5 h. (iii) BnBr, <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt, DMAP,  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , rt, 24 h.

reactions provided the corresponding aminotriols, and final hydrogenolytic N-deprotection gave access to dihydroconduramines  $(\pm)$ -B-1,<sup>30</sup>  $(\pm)$ -E-1,<sup>31,32</sup> and  $(\pm)$ -F-1<sup>33</sup> (Figure 1).

# ■ RESULTS [AN](#page-9-0)D DISC[USS](#page-9-0)ION

The requisite substrates 14−16 for these investigatio[ns](#page-2-0) were prepared from cyclohexa-1,3-diene as previously described.<sup>2</sup> Following the literature procedure for "N-oxide-directed"<sup>20</sup> epoxidation, treatment of a solution of N,N-dibenzyl-protect[ed](#page-9-0) trans-14 in  $CD_2Cl_2$  with m-CPBA (1.5 equiv) and analysis [by](#page-9-0) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy after 30 min showed the presence of an approximately 50:50 mixture of two species, assigned as Noxide 17 and N-oxide epoxide 18. Both of these species displayed resonances in their <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra between ∼4.5 and ∼5 ppm (integrating to a total of four protons), with coupling patterns characteristic of diastereotopic methylene protons of diastereotopic N-benzyl groups (AB and AX systems). In order to explore this epoxidation process, a further portion of m-CPBA (3 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic analysis after a further 8 h revealed complete conversion to N-oxide epoxide 18. Reductive workup (with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$ ) and chromatographic purification gave the known epoxide 19<sup>29</sup> in 62% isolated yield. The identity of N-oxide epoxide 18 was unambiguously established by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Diastereoselective syntheses of dihydroconduramines B-1, E-1, and F-1 via epoxidation of the trans- and cis-diastereoisomers of Nbenzyl- or N,N-dibenzyl-protected 4-aminocyclohex-2-en-1-ols 10 and 14−16 under conditions for "ammonium-directed" or "N-oxidedirected" reactions.

treatment of a sample of epoxide 19 in  $CD_2Cl_2$  with m-CPBA, which gave a sample of 18 in situ. Thus, the stereochemical outcome of the epoxidation reaction suggests that rapid Noxidation of 14 is followed by rapid epoxidation of N-oxide 17 on the face that is both syn to the hydroxyl group (presumably favored by hydrogen bonding) and anti to the N-oxide moiety (presumably favored by the desire to minimize unfavorable steric/electronic interactions). Nonetheless, the high reactivity and high diastereoselectivity elicited upon epoxidation of 17 (even in the absence of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$ , in contrast to the behavior of  $6)^{20}$  both suggest that the presence of the hydroxyl group is pivotal in promoting this reaction. It is also instructive to com[par](#page-9-0)e the highly diastereoselective outcome of this epoxidation reaction with the previously reported result of treatment of trans-14 under conditions for "ammoniumdirected" epoxidation, which gave a 75:25 mixture of epoxides 19 and 13, respectively, due to the competitive effects of the two directing groups in this case<sup>29</sup> (Scheme 3).

## Scheme  $3^a$



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i)  $\text{Cl}_{3}\text{CCO}_{2}\text{H}$  (10 equiv),  $\text{CH}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}$ , rt, 5 min. (ii) m-CPBA (5 equiv), rt, 3 h. (iii) m-CPBA (1.5 equiv),  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 0 °C, 30 min. (iv) m-CPBA (3 equiv), rt, 8 h. (v)  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$ .

Epoxidation of N-benzyl-protected cis-15 under conditions for "ammonium-directed"<sup>19</sup> reaction was next investigated. Addition of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  (in 1.0 equiv portions) to a 0.36 M solution of 15 (1.0 equiv) [in](#page-9-0)  $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (monitored by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy) formed the corresponding ammonium species 20, and it was concluded from this experiment that 10 equiv of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  would be required to efficiently protect the nitrogen atom from oxidation within this system. $34$  This is consistent with the amount of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  required to protect the diastereoisomeric secondary amine trans-10.<sup>[29](#page-9-0)</sup> Under conditions analogous to those that we have previously reported to effect epoxidation of ammonium species 2 (i.e., [tr](#page-9-0)eatment with 1.6 equiv of m-CPBA in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at room temperature for 21 h), $19$  reaction of 20 gave a complex mixture of products from which epoxide 22 was isolated in only 17% yield and >99:1 [dr](#page-9-0). The identity of 22, including its relative configuration, was unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>35</sup> When the reaction was run for only  $3.5$  $h<sup>36</sup>$  epoxide 22 was formed as the major product (in >95:5 dr). In order to facilit[ate](#page-9-0) purification, it was found that chemos[ele](#page-9-0)ctive N-benzylation of the crude reaction mixture gave the corresponding N,N-dibenzylamino epoxide 25, which was isolated in 59% overall yield from 15. The identity of 25, including its relative configuration, was also unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.<sup>35</sup> The stereochemical outcome is consistent with highly diastereoselective epoxidation under hydrogen-bonding direction [of e](#page-9-0)ither the hydroxyl group or N-benzyl ammonium moiety or both. Epoxidation of N,N-dibenzyl-protected tertiary amine cis-16 under analogous conditions (10 equiv of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  and 5 equiv of m-CPBA in  $CH_2Cl_2$  for 21 h)<sup>19</sup> also gave epoxide 25 as a single diastereoisomer (>95:5 dr), which was isolated in 63% yield and >99:1 dr (Scheme 4).

Reaction of N,N-dibenzyl-protected cis-16 under conditions for "N-oxide-directed" <sup>20</sup> epoxidation revealed similar behavior to trans-14. Treatment of 16 with m-CPBA (1.5 equiv) gave an approximately 50:50 [m](#page-9-0)ixture of two species, assigned as Noxide 23 and N-oxide epoxide 24. Addition of further m-CPBA

Scheme 4<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i)  $\text{Cl}_3\text{CCO}_2\text{H}$  (10 equiv),  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , rt, 5 min. (ii) *m*-CPBA (1.6 equiv), rt, 3.5 h. (iii) BnBr, <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt, DMAP,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , rt, 24 h. (iv) m-CPBA (5 equiv), rt, 21 h. (v) m-CPBA (1.5 equiv),  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 0 °C, 30 min. (vi) *m*-CPBA (3 equiv), rt, 8 h. (vii)  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$ .

 $(3$  equiv) to the reaction mixture and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic analysis after a further 8 h showed complete conversion to Noxide epoxide 24. Reductive workup (with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$ ) furnished epoxide 25 in 70% isolated yield. The identity of 24 was unambiguously established by treatment of a sample of 25 in  $CD_2Cl_2$  with *m*-CPBA, which gave a sample of 24 in situ. The stereochemical outcome of this epoxidation process is consistent with reaction of 23 being promoted by hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl group and the oxidant, which overwhelms any steric/electronic effect of the N-oxide moiety that might favor epoxidation of the opposite face. Nonetheless, as the favored half-chair conformation of 23 is presumably that which places the sterically demanding N-oxide moiety pseudoequatorial, the hydroxyl group would be placed pseudoaxial, and a pseudoaxial hydroxyl group has previously been shown to be only a modest directing group through hydrogen bonding for this transformation in a closely related system.37 Both the high reactivity and diastereoselectivity observed here are, therefore, noteworthy. Despite the lack of diaster[eod](#page-9-0)ivergency between reaction under conditions for the "ammonium-directed"<sup>19</sup> epoxidations of cis-15 (and cis-16), and the "N-oxide-directed"<sup>20</sup> epoxidation of  $cis$ -16, the latter procedure offers an [a](#page-9-0)lternative and efficient process for preparation of epoxide [25](#page-9-0) (Scheme 4).

In order to probe further the behavior of cis-16 under the conditions for "N-oxide-directed"<su[p](#page-2-0)>20</sup> epoxidation, reaction of the corresponding acetate ester 26 and O-benzyl ether 27, which both lack the capacity for hydrog[en](#page-9-0) bonding through a hydroxyl group, was briefly investigated. Both 26 and 27 were readily prepared from 16 upon treatment with either  $Ac_2O$  or NaH/ BnBr, respectively. Independent treatment of a solution of either 26 or 27 in  $CD_2Cl_2$  with m-CPBA for 30 min gave complete conversion to the corresponding N-oxides 28 and 29 only, with no evidence of formation of the corresponding Noxide epoxide species 30 or 31 in either case. Treatment of the sample of  $28$  with *m*-CPBA (3 equiv) for  $24$  h resulted in no further reaction; addition of a further portion of m-CPBA (3 equiv) and reaction for a further 24 h similarly failed to promote epoxidation, and 28 remained essentially unchanged. Reductive workup resulted in the return of impure starting material 26. Meanwhile, treatment of 29 with m-CPBA (3 equiv) for 24 h gave approximately 25% conversion of 29 to a new species, tentatively assigned as the corresponding N-oxide epoxide 31, alongside the formation of several other unidentified species. Addition of a further portion of m-CPBA (6 equiv) and reaction for another 24 h period resulted in formation of a complex mixture of products containing 31 as a major component. Reductive workup and purification unfortunately only allowed isolation of an impure sample of epoxide 32 in <10% yield (Scheme 5). An authentic sample of the diastereoisomeric epoxide 33 was prepared (in 40% yield) via treatment of epoxide 25 with NaH/BnBr, thus supporting the stereochemical assignment of  $32^{38}$  (Scheme 6). The vastly reduced reactivity of both N-oxides 28 and 29 (derived from acetate ester 26 and O-benzyl ethe[r](#page-9-0) 27, respectively) toward epoxidation,<sup>39</sup> as well as the diastereoselectivity of epoxidation of 29, <sup>38</sup> highlights the importance of the hydroxyl group in promoting [rea](#page-9-0)ction of N-oxide 23 (derived from 16) under identi[cal](#page-9-0) conditions. The differing reactivity of 28 and 29 under these conditions is consistent with the observations of Henbest and Wilson, $3$  who noted that the O-methyl or O-ethyl ethers derived from cyclohex-2-en-1-ol were epoxidized (by peroxybenzoic ac[id](#page-9-0) in benzene at 5 °C) approximately 1.5 times





<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, DMAP, pyridine, rt, 24 h. (ii) NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 30 min, then BnBr, Bu<sub>4</sub>NI, 0 °C to rt, 24 h. (iii) m-CPBA (1.5 equiv),  $CD_2Cl_2$ , 0 °C, 30 min. (iv) *m*-CPBA (3 equiv), rt, 24 h, then  $m$ -CPBA (3 equiv), rt, 24 h. (v)  $m$ -CPBA (3 equiv), rt, 24 h, then *m*-CPBA (6 equiv), rt, 24 h. (vi)  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$ .

Scheme  $6<sup>a</sup>$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 30 min, then BnBr, Bu<sub>4</sub>NI, 0  $\degree$ C to rt, 24 h.

faster than the corresponding acetate ester derivative. Presumably, an alkoxy functionality is less significantly electron-withdrawing than an acetate ester functionality, which is manifest in greater impedance of the olefin nucleophilicity in the latter case.

In order that its subsequent ring opening could be investigated, Mitsunobu reaction of 19 was used to give access to the  $C(1)$ -epimeric epoxide 34, which was formed as a single diastereoisomer that was isolated in 70% yield (Scheme 7). The identity of 34, including its relative configuration, was unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray diff[r](#page-4-0)action analysis.<sup>35</sup>

With samples of all four possible diastereoisomeric epoxides 13, 19, [2](#page-9-0)5, and 34 in hand, attention next turned to the investigation of their ring opening reactions. Treatment of 25 with  $H_2SO_4$  resulted in formation of a single triol 41 in >95:5 dr and in >95% mass return. Chromatographic purification gave 41 in 50% isolated yield and >99:1 dr. The relative configuration within  $41$  was assigned with the aid of  ${}^{1}H$ NMR  $3J$  coupling constant analyses, with the assumption that the favored solution-phase conformation is a chair which places

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme  $7^a$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i) DEAD,  $\text{PPh}_3$ ,  $\text{PhCO}_2\text{H}$ , THF, rt, 16 h, then  $K_2CO_3$ , MeOH, rt, 4 h.

the bulky N,N-dibenzylamino substituent in an equatorial site and is consistent with ring opening of the intermediate ammonium  $36$  occurring upon regioselective attack of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ at C(2). Ring opening of epoxide 19 proceeded with somewhat lower regioselectivity to give a 75:25 mixture of triols 39 and 40, respectively (>95% mass return), which were isolated in 31% and 8% yield. The relative configuration within 39 was unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis<sup>35</sup> while that within 40 was assigned with the aid of <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $3$ J coupling constant analyses, again with the assumption that th[e f](#page-9-0)avored solution-phase conformation is a chair which places the bulky N,N-dibenzylamino substituent in an equatorial site; a similar analysis applied to 39 was also supportive of the established configuration. This stereochemical outcome is consistent with attack of  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  on the intermediate ammonium 35 occurring at both  $C(2)$  and  $C(3)$  to give the corresponding triols 39 and 40, respectively (Scheme 8). Under

# Scheme  $8^a$



identical conditions, attempted ring opening of epoxide 34 gave a complex mixture of products, while ring opening of epoxide 13 proceeded to give a 5:75:20 mixture of triols 39, 40, and 41, respectively (>95% mass return). Purification gave a sample of 40 in 14% yield and an 80:20 mixture of 40 and 41 in 27% yield (i.e., 40 and 41 were isolated in a total yield of 41%); triol 39 was not isolated from this reaction. Triols 39 and 40 would arise from direct  $S_N$ 2-type ring opening of the intermediate epoxide ammonium 37 at  $C(3)$  or  $C(2)$ , respectively, indicating that the ratio of products derived from  $C(2)$  versus  $C(3)$  attack in this case is 96:4. Formation of triol 41 in this reaction suggests that a competitive Payne-type rearrangement<sup>40</sup> of epoxide ammonium 37 to give epoxide ammonium 38 occurs, and 38 then undergoes regioselective ring opening at  $C(1)$ , distal to the electron-withdrawing hydroxyl substituent and via a chairlike transition state, in accordance with the Fürst-Plattner  $rule^{23}$  (Scheme 9). Epoxides 19 and 25 cannot



undergo an analogous reaction pathway due to the epoxide functionality and hydroxyl group being located on the same face of the six-membered ring scaffold, although this pathway is likely available to epoxide 34 and so may contribute toward the formation of a mixture of products upon its attempted ring opening.

Taken together, and ignoring the alternative Payne-type rearrangement<sup>40</sup> pathway observed with 37, these results reveal that the ring opening of epoxide ammoniums 35, 36, and 37 at  $C(2)$  is inher[en](#page-9-0)tly favored over ring opening at  $C(3)$ . The primary origin of this selectivity is likely that attack at the carbon atom distal to the in situ formed ammonium moiety is favored in order to minimize its destabilizing inductive electron-withdrawing influence on the transition state,  $21,22$ irrespective of the relative configuration within the substrate.<sup>41</sup> Nonetheless, the  $C(1)$ -epimeric pair of 36 and 37 sho[ws a](#page-9-0) much higher preference for regioselective ring opening at  $C(2)$  $C(2)$ than does 35 (>95:5 dr and 94:6 dr, respectively, in the former cases, versus 75:25 dr in the latter). The very high regioselectivity for 36 and 37 parallels the complete regioselectivity observed for ring opening of 3 under identical conditions.<sup>19</sup> This has previously been rationalized by us as arising from reaction of the favored half-chair conformation 3A, which plac[es](#page-9-0) the sterically demanding ammonium moiety in a pseudoequatorial site, proceeding via a favored chairlike (rather than disfavored twist-boat-like) transition state (i.e., in accordance with the Fürst-Plattner rule), $^{23}$  thus reinforcing the inherent desire to undergo ring opening distal from the ammonium moiety. It is apparent that th[e](#page-9-0) introduction of a hydroxyl group in 36 ( $X = OH$ ,  $Y = H$ ) or 37 ( $X = H$ ,  $Y = OH$ ) plays little or no part in affecting this regioselectivity, which is consistent with ring opening occurring from conformations 36A and 37A, respectively (Figure 2).

We have previously observed that ring opening of epoxide 42 proceeds with very high levels of r[eg](#page-5-0)ioselectivity for attack at  $C(1)$  of the intermediate epoxide ammonium 43<sup>42</sup> (Scheme 10). With the apparent desire to undergo ring opening distal to the ammonium moiety being the decisive factor co[ntr](#page-9-0)olling the [reg](#page-5-0)ioselectivity in this case, this necessitates either ring opening from conformer 43A (likely the dominant conformer in solution, with the bulky ammonium moiety in a pseudoequatorial position), to traverse an unfavorable twist-boat-like transition state, or ring opening from conformer 43B, to traverse an unfavorable chairlike transition state with all substituents (significantly the bulky ammonium moiety) in pseudoaxial sites. Although the behavior of epoxide ammonium

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 2. Rationale for regioselectivity of ring opening of epoxide ammoniums 3, 36, and 37. \*Regioselectivity refers to the ratio of product resulting from attack at the carbon atom of the epoxide distal to the ammonium moiety to product resulting from attack at the carbon atom of the epoxide *proximal* to the ammonium moiety [for 3, ratio of  $C(1)$  vs  $C(2)$  attack; for 36 and 37, ratio of  $C(2)$  vs  $C(3)$ attack].

### Scheme  $10^a$



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i)  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $H_2O$ , dioxane, rt, 12 h.

35 under identical conditions shows that the ring opening process is still favored to occur distal to the ammonium moiety, inclusion of an electron-withdrawing heteroatom at  $C(1)$ within 35 clearly erodes the dominant effect of the electronwithdrawing ammonium group, meaning that ring opening at  $C(3)$  is able to compete in this case (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Rationale for regioselectivity of ring opening of epoxide ammoniums 43 and 35. \*Regioselectivity refers to the ratio of product resulting from attack at the carbon atom of the epoxide distal to the ammonium moiety to product resulting from attack at the carbon atom of the epoxide proximal to the ammonium moiety [for 43, ratio of  $C(1)$  vs  $C(2)$  attack; for 35, ratio of  $C(2)$  vs  $C(3)$  attack].

With samples of the diastereoisomeric triols 39−41 in hand, hydrogenolytic N-deprotection was undertaken. Treatment of 39−41 with Pearlman's catalyst  $[\text{Pd(OH)_2/C}]$  under H<sub>2</sub> gave corresponding dihydroconduramines  $(\pm)$ -B-1,<sup>30</sup>  $(\pm)$ -E-1,<sup>31,32</sup> and  $(\pm)$ -F-1<sup>33</sup> (44–46) in 72% to quantitative yield and as single diastereoisomers in each case.  ${}^{1}H$  N[MR](#page-9-0)  ${}^{3}J$  cou[pling](#page-9-0) constant an[aly](#page-9-0)ses of 44−46 were supportive of the assigned relative configurations in each case. Comparison of the  $H$  and  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopic data of these samples of dihydroconduramine  $(\pm)$ -E-1 45 and dihydroconduramine  $(\pm)$ -F-1 46 with those previously reported<sup>31–33</sup> showed excellent agreement. Although dihydroconduramine  $(\pm)$ -B-1 44 has been previously reported, $30$  compari[son o](#page-9-0)f the spectroscopic data with those of our sample showed only modest agreement. Nonetheless, the ste[reo](#page-9-0)chemistry of our sample of 44 is secured from single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of the precursor 39. All of these data further confirm the assigned relative configurations within all intermediates (Scheme 11).

# Scheme  $11^a$



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (i)  $H_2$ ,  $Pd(OH)_2/C$ , MeOH, rt, 14 h.

## ■ **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, diastereoselective epoxidation of N-protected trans- or cis-4-aminocyclohex-2-en-1-ols may be achieved by reaction through the corresponding ammonium or N-oxide species. Epoxidation of the N-benzyl ammonium species proceeds on the face syn to the ammonium moiety, regardless of the relative configuration within the substrate, due to the superior ability of the N-benzyl ammonium moiety to direct the stereochemical course of the reaction by hydrogen bonding. Epoxidation of the N-oxide species proceeds on the face syn to the hydroxyl group, regardless of the relative configuration of the substrate, indicating that the hydroxyl group is able to direct efficiently the stereochemical course of the reaction by hydrogen bonding, irrespective of the presence of the Noxide moiety. These procedures allow direct preparation of three of the four possible diastereoisomers of 2,3-epoxy-4-N,Ndibenzylaminocyclohexan-1-ol. Subsequent ring opening of these epoxides upon treatment with aqueous  $H_2SO_4$  proceeds, in all cases, by preferential nucleophilic attack at  $C(2)$ . This regioselectivity is consistent with ring opening proceeding at

the carbon atom of the epoxide that is distal to the in situ formed ammonium moiety, in order that its destabilizing electron-withdrawing effect on the transition state is minimized. Hydrogenolytic deprotection of the triol products of these ring opening reactions then furnished the corresponding dihydroconduramines  $(\pm)$ -B-1,  $(\pm)$ -E-1, and  $(\pm)$ -F-1. Further application of this methodology for the synthesis of aminocyclitols is ongoing in our laboratory.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Experimental Details. Reactions involving moisturesensitive reagents were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard vacuum line techniques and glassware that was flame-dried and cooled under nitrogen before use. Solvents were dried according to the procedure outlined by Grubbs and co-workers.<sup>43</sup> m-CPBA was supplied as a 70−77% slurry in water and titrated according to the procedure of Swern.<sup>44</sup> Organic layers were dried ove[r N](#page-9-0)a<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

Melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded by use of an attenuated total [re](#page-9-0)flectance (ATR) module. Selected characteristic peaks are reported in cm<sup>−1</sup>. NMR spectra were recorded in the deuterated solvent stated. The field was locked by external referencing to the relevant deuteron resonance. <sup>1</sup>H−<sup>1</sup>H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC) analyses were used to establish atom connectivity. Accurate mass measurements were run on a MicroTOF instrument internally calibrated with polyalanine.

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination.<sup>35</sup> Data were collected by use of either graphite monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation (for 22, 25, and 34) or g[ra](#page-9-0)phite monochromated Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (for 39) via standard procedures at 150 K. The structure was solved by direct methods (SIR92); all non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were added at idealized positions. The structure was refined by use of CRYSTALS.<sup>45</sup>

(1RS,2RS,3SR,4RS)-2,3-Epoxy-4-(N,N-dibenzylamino) **cyclohexan-1-ol 19.**  $m$ -CPBA (60% by wt, 441 mg, 1.54 mmol) w[as](#page-9-0) added to a stirred solution of 14 (300 mg, 1.02 mmol, 97:3 dr) in  $CD_2Cl_2$  (3 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min to give an ~50:50 mixture of 17 and 18. Data for 17:  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ) [selected peaks] 1.24−1.34 (1H, m), 1.70−1.82 (1H, m), 2.02−2.10 (1H, m), 2.29−2.36 (1H, m), 4.06−4.13 (1H, m), 4.27−4.36 (1H, m), 4.56 (2H, A2), 4.63 (1H, d, J = 13.0 Hz), 4.74 (1H, d, J = 13.0 Hz), 5.81−5.86 (1H, m), 5.94−5.99 (1H, m). Further m-CPBA (60% by wt, 882 mg, 3.07 mmol) was then added and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h to give 18 in >95:5 dr: δH (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) [selected peaks] 1.10−1.20 (1H, m), 1.68−1.80 (2H, m), 2.12−2.22 (1H, m), 2.63 (1H, d, J = 3.5 Hz), 3.26 (1H, dd, J = 3.5, 1.6 Hz), 3.69 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, 6.4 Hz), 3.87  $(1H, ddd, J = 10.8, 5.4, 1.6 Hz), 4.56 (1H, d, J = 13.2 Hz), 4.85 (1H, d,$  $J = 13.1$  Hz), 4.91 (1H, d,  $J = 13.1$  Hz), 5.04 (1H, d,  $J = 13.1$  Hz).  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$  (773 mg, 6.13 mmol) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred until it solidified (5 min). The resultant mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and stirred for a further 30 min. Further CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was then added and the resultant mixture was washed sequentially with 10% aqueous NaOH  $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$  and brine (15 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 19 in >95:5 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40  $^{\circ}$ C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) gave 19 as a white solid (196 mg, 62%, >99:1 dr):<sup>29</sup> mp 92–95 °C (lit.<sup>29</sup> mp 93–96 °C);  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.20−1.28 (1H, m, C(6) $H_A$ ), 1.37−1.43 (1H, m, C(5) $H_A$ ), 1.72−1.80 (2[H,](#page-9-0) m,  $C(6)H_B$ ,  $C(5)H_B$ ), 3.01 (1H, app dd, J = 11.1, 6.0 Hz, C(4)H), 3.31−3.32 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.40−3.42 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.66 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.73 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz,  $N(CH_AH_BPh)_2$ ), 3.98 (1H, app dd, J = 9.9, 4.8 Hz, C(1)H), 7.23–7.41 (10H, m, Ph).

(1RS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-2,3-Epoxy-4-(N-benzylamino)cyclohexan-1-ol 22. Formation of (RS,SR)-4-(N-Benzylammonio)cyclohex-2-en-1-ol Trichloroacetate 20.  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  (126 mg, 768  $\mu$ mol) was added to a solution of 15 (15.6 mg, 77  $\mu$ mol, >99:1 dr) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (213  $\mu$ L, 0.36 M with respect to 15) to give 20:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (500 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 2.05−

2.12 (2H, m), 2.24−2.26 (2H, m), 4.10 (1H, app br s), 4.36−4.44 (2H, m), 4.57−4.58 (1H, m), 6.08−6.11 (1H, m), 6.34−6.37 (1H, m), 7.49−7.50 (5H, m), 7.85−7.92 (2H, m).

Epoxidation.  $\text{Cl}_3\text{CCO}_2\text{H}$  (667 mg, 4.08 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 15 (83 mg, 0.41 mmol, >99:1 dr) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1.2 mL, 0.36 M with respect to 15) at room temperature, and the resultant mixture was stirred for 5 min. m-CPBA (73% by wt, 154 mg, 0.65 mmol) was subsequently added, and the resultant mixture was stirred for 21 h at room temperature. Saturated aqueous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$  was then added until starch−iodide paper indicated that no m-CPBA was present. MeOH  $(12 \text{ mL})$  and  $K_2CO_3$   $(1.13 \text{ g}, 8.17 \text{ mmol})$  were then added, and the resultant suspension was stirred at room temperature for 4 h before being concentrated in vacuo.  $H_2O$  (15 mL) was then added and the mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL) and then dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) gave 22 as a pale yellow solid (16 mg, 17%, >99:1 dr): mp 81–84 °C;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  $(\text{film})$  3315, 2941, 2859, 1602, 1495, 1453;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.46−1.55 (3H, m,  $C(5)H_2$ ,  $C(6)H_A$ ), 1.61−1.66 (1H, m,  $C(6)H_B$ ), 1.81 (1H, br s, OH), 2.99 (1H, dt, J = 6.5, 3.0 Hz, C(4)H), 3.47 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C(2)H), 3.50–3.52 (1H, dd, J = 4.0, 3.0 Hz, C(3)H), 3.95 (2H, app s, NCH2Ph), 4.02−4.06 (1H, m, C(1)H), 7.25−7.40 (5H, m, Ph);  $\delta_C$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 23.3 (C(5)), 28.3 (C(6)), 50.9  $(NCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 51.4 (C(4)), 56.7 (C(2)), 57.2 (C(3)), 65.2 (C(1)), 127.1$ (p-Ph), 128.1, 128.5 (o,m-Ph), 140.2 (i-Ph);  $m/z$  (ESI<sup>+</sup>) 220 ([M +  $[\hat{H}]^{+}$ , 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{13}H_{18}NO_2^+$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>) requires 220.1332; found 220.1335.

(1RS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-2,3-Epoxy-4-(N,N-dibenzylamino) cyclohexan-1-ol 25. Method A (from 15). Step 1.  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  (4.02 g, 24.6 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 15 (500 mg, 2.46 mmol,  $>99:1$  dr) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (6.8 mL, 0.36 M with respect to 15) at room temperature, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. m-CPBA (70% by wt, 970 mg, 3.94 mmol) was subsequently added and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h. Solid Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (992 mg, 7.87 mmol) was then added, and the resultant suspension was stirred until it solidified (∼5 min). The reaction mixture was then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) and washed with 10% aqueous NaOH  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined aqueous layers were extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (100 mL) and then dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo to give 22 in >95:5 dr.

Step 2. BnBr (440 μL, 3.69 mmol), <sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (643 μL, 3.69 mmol), and DMAP (cat.) were added sequentially to a stirred solution of residue 22 from the previous step in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (6.8 mL) at room temperature, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) and washed with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 50$  mL). The combined aqueous washings were extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (150 mL) and then dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) gave 25 as a white solid (450 mg, 59%, >99:1 dr): mp 81−83 °C; ν<sub>max</sub> (film) 3417, 2927, 1602; δ<sub>H</sub> (400 MHz, CDCl3) 1.27−1.37 (1H, m, C(6)HA), 1.47−1.54 (1H, m,  $C(5)H_A$ , 1.67−1.79 (2H, m,  $C(5)H_B$ ,  $C(6)H_B$ ), 2.32 (1H, br s, OH), 2.99 (1H, ddd, J = 11.0, 4.7, 1.3 Hz, C(4)H), 3.34−3.36 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.52–3.53 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.68 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz,  $N(CH_AH_BPh)_2$ , 3.91 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz,  $N(CH_AH_BPh)_2$ ), 3.98–4.04 (1H, app br s, C(1)H), 7.22−7.27 (2H, m, Ph), 7.30−7.34 (4H, m, Ph), 7.40−7.42 (4H, m, Ph);  $\delta_C$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 14.6 (C(5)), 31.5  $(C(6))$ , 54.0  $(C(2))$ , 54.6  $(N(CH_2Ph)_2)$ , 55.1  $(C(4))$ , 57.5  $(C(3))$ , 63.1 (C(1)), 126.9 (p-Ph) 128.3, 128.5 (o,m-Ph), 140.2 (i-Ph); m/z  $(ESI^+)$  310 ( $[M + H^+]^+$ , 100%); HRMS ( $ESI^+$ )  $C_{20}H_{24}NO_2^+$  ( $[M +$  $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ ) requires 310.1802; found 310.1800.  $\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{23}\mathrm{NO}_{2}$  requires C, 77.6; H, 7.5; N, 4.5; found C, 77.6; H, 7.6; N, 4.5.

Method B (from 16 via 21). Formation of (RS,SR)-4-(N,N-Dibenzylammonio)cyclohex-2-en-1-ol Trichloroacetate 21.  $Cl_3CCO_2H$  (100 mg, 610  $\mu$ mol) was added to a solution of 16 (17.9 mg, 61  $\mu$ mol, >99:1 dr) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (169  $\mu$ L, 0.36 M with respect to 16) to give 21:  $\delta_H$  (500 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 1.94–2.00 (1H, m), 2.22–

2.40 (3H, m), 4.27−4.34 (2H, m), 4.43−4.51 (2H, m), 4.58−4.64 (2H, m), 6.22 (1H, app d, J = 10.4 Hz), 6.44−6.47 (1H, m), 7.43− 7.53 (10H, m), 7.99 (1H, m).

Epoxidation.  $Cl_3CCO_2H$  (5.57 g, 34.1 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 16 (1.00 g, 3.41 mmol, >99:1 dr) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (9.5 mL, 0.36 M with respect to 16) at room temperature, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. m-CPBA (70% by wt, 4.20 g, 17.0 mmol) was subsequently added, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 21 h. Solid  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3$  (4.30) g, 34.1 mmol) was then added, and the resultant suspension was stirred until it solidified (∼5 min). The reaction mixture was then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) and washed with 10% aqueous NaOH  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined aqueous layers were extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (100 mL) and then dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo to give 25 in >95:5 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) gave 25 as a white solid (664 mg, 63%, >99:1 dr).

Method C (from 16 via 23). m-CPBA (60% by wt, 147 mg, 0.51 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 16 (100 mg, 0.34 mmol) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) at 0  $\degree$ C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at 0  $\degree$ C for 30 min to give an ~50:50 mixture of 23 and 24. Data for 23:  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) [selected peaks] 1.64−1.74 (1H, m), 1.93−2.01 (1H, m), 2.20−2.28 (1H, m), 2.30−2.40 (1H, m), 4.10−4.14 (1H, m), 4.16−4.22 (1H, m), 4.66 (2H, A2), 4.77 (1H, d, J = 13.1 Hz), 4.92 (1H, d, J = 13.1 Hz), 5.97–6.04 (1H, m), 6.24 (1H, app d, J = 10.4 Hz). Further m-CPBA (60% by wt, 293 mg, 1.02 mmol) was then added, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h to give 24 in >95:5 dr:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) [selected peaks] 1.44−1.55 (1H, m), 1.81−1.90 (1H, m), 1.95−2.04 (1H, m), 2.12− 2.24 (1H, m), 3.57 (1H, td,  $J = 4.3$ , 1.1 Hz), 3.97 (1H, ddd,  $J = 10.4$ , 5.1, 1.1 Hz), 4.09−4.14 (1H, m), 4.22 (1H, app d, J = 4.3 Hz), 4.56  $(1H, d, J = 13.1 \text{ Hz})$ , 4.62  $(2H, A_2)$ , 4.96  $(1H, d, J = 13.1 \text{ Hz})$ . Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (258 mg, 2.05 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred until it solidified (5 min). The resultant mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1 mL) and stirred for a further 30 min. Further  $CH_2Cl_2$ (10 mL) was then added and the resultant mixture was washed sequentially with 10% aqueous NaOH  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$  and brine  $(20 \text{ m})$ mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 25 in >95:5 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) gave 25 as a white solid (74 mg, 70%, >99:1 dr).

(RS,SR)-1-Acetoxy-4-(N,N-dibenzylamino)cyclohex-2-ene 26.  $Ac<sub>2</sub>O$  (161  $\mu$ L, 1.70 mmol) and DMAP (cat.) were added sequentially to a stirred solution of 16 (100 mg, 0.34 mmol, >99:1 dr) in pyridine (1.4 mL) at room temperature, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The resultant solution was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) and washed sequentially with H<sub>2</sub>O (2  $\times$  10 mL), saturated aqueous NaCO<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 10$  mL), and brine ( $10$  mL) and then dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) gave 26 as a colorless oil (87 mg, 76%, >99:1 dr):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film) 3028, 2943, 1732, 1652, 1603, 1494; δ<sub>H</sub> (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.62−1.69 (1H, m, C(6)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.77–1.82 (2H, m, C(5)H<sub>2</sub>), 1.92–1.95 (1H, m, C(6)H<sub>B</sub>), 2.06 (3H, s, COMe), 3.27−3.31 (1H, m, C(4)H), 3.60 (2H, d, J = 14.1 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.75 (2H, d, J = 14.1 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 5.14 (1H, dt, J = 4.1, 2.4 Hz, C(1)H), 5.89–5.92 (1H, m, C(2)H), 6.11 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 1.9 Hz, C(3)H), 7.22–7.48 (10H, m, Ph);  $\delta_c$  (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 18.3 (C(5)), 21.4 (COMe), 27.3 (C(6)), 54.0  $(N(CH, Ph),)$ , 54.6  $(C(4))$ , 66.3  $(C(1))$ , 126.8  $(p-Ph)$ , 127.0  $(C(2))$ , 128.2, 128.5  $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$ , 137.4  $(C(3))$ , 140.3  $(i\text{-}Ph)$ , 170.7  $(COMe)$ ;  $m/z$   $(ESI<sup>+</sup>)$  336  $([M + H]<sup>+</sup>$ , 100%); HRMS  $(ESI<sup>+</sup>)$  $C_{22}H_{26}NO_2^+$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>) requires 336.1958; found 336.1962.

(RS,SR)-1-Benzyloxy-4-(N,N-dibenzylamino)cyclohex-2-ene 27. NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 101 mg, 2.52 mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 20 min in pentane (2 mL). The pentane was then decanted under a stream of argon, and DMF (2 mL) was added. The resultant suspension was cooled to  $0^{\circ}C$ , and a solution of 16 (371 mg, 1.26 mmol, >99:1 dr) in DMF (2 mL) was added dropwise. The resultant suspension was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, after which time BnBr (0.31 mL, 2.52 mmol) and Bu4NI (cat.)

were added sequentially. The resultant mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred at room temperature for 24 h, and then quenched with  $H_2O$  (5 mL). The resultant mixture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5  $\times$  10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40  $^{\circ}$ C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 19:1) gave 27 as a white solid (460 mg, 95%, >99:1 dr): mp 74−75 °C;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film) 3027, 2935, 2861, 1648, 1603, 1494, 1453;  $\delta_H$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.46−1.55 (1H, m, C(6)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.72−1.77 (1H, m, C(5)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.83–1.93 (1H, m, C(5)H<sub>B</sub>), 2.01–2.05 (1H, m, C(6)H<sub>B</sub>), 3.24–3.28 (1H, m, C(4)H), 3.59 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz,  $N(CH_AH_BPh)$ <sub>2</sub>, 3.76−3.81 (3H, m, C(1)H, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 4.55 (1H, d,  $J = 12.1$  Hz, OCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.61 (1H, d,  $J = 12.1$  Hz, OCHAHBPh), 5.95−6.03 (2H, m, C(2)H, C(3)H), 7.20−7.41 (15H, m, Ph);  $\delta_C$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 18.0 (C(5)), 26.7 (C(6)), 54.0  $(N(CH_2Ph)_2)$ , 54.9  $(C(4))$ , 70.0  $(C(1))$ , 70.4  $(OCH_2Ph)$ , 126.7, 127.5, 127.6, 128.1, 128.4, 128.6 (o,m,p-Ph), 129.0, 135.7 (C(2),  $C(3)$ ), 139.0, 140.6 (*i-Ph*);  $m/z$  (ESI<sup>+</sup>) 384 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{27}H_{30}NO^+$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>) requires 384.2322; found 384.2314.

(RS, SR)-1-Acetoxy-4-(N, N-dibenzylamino)cyclohex-2-ene N-<br>Oxide 28.  $m$ -CPBA (60% by wt, 129 mg, 0.45 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of  $26$  (100 mg, 0.30 mmol, >99:1 dr) in  $CD_2Cl_2$  $(1 \text{ mL})$  at 0 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C to give 28:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) [selected peaks] 1.66−1.76  $(1H, m)$ , 1.99–2.07  $(1H, m)$ , 2.10  $(3H, s)$ , 2.19  $(1H, app qd, J = 13.0,$ 3.0 Hz), 2.28−2.37 (1H, m), 4.13−4.21 (1H, m), 4.64 (1H, d, J = 12.9 Hz, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.73 (1H, d, J = 12.9 Hz, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.84 (2H,  $A_{2}$ , NCH<sub>C</sub>H<sub>D</sub>Ph), 5.06–5.11 (1H, m), 5.86–5.92 (1H, m), 6.34 (1H, app d,  $J = 10.6$  Hz).

Attempted Preparation of (1RS,2SR,3RS,4SR)-1-Benzyloxy-2,3-epoxy-4-(N,N-dibenzylamino)cyclohexane 32. m-CPBA (60% by wt, 112 mg, 0.39 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 27 (100 mg, 0.26 mmol, >99:1 dr) in  $CD_2Cl_2$  (1 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min at 0 °C to give 29:  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz,  $CD_2Cl_2$ ) [selected peaks] 1.50−1.65 (1H, m), 2.05−2.14 (1H, m), 2.19−2.36 (2H, m), 3.79−3.84 (1H, m), 4.12−4.19 (1H, m), 4.52 (1H, d,  $J = 11.7$  Hz, NCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.56 (1H, d,  $J = 11.7$  Hz,  $NCH_AH_BPh$ , 4.63 (1H, d, J = 13.2 Hz,  $NCH_CH_DPh$ ), 4.67 (1H, d, J = 13.2 Hz, NCH<sub>C</sub>H<sub>D</sub>Ph), 4.79 (2H, app s), 6.00–6.06 (1H, m), 6.25 (1H, app d,  $J = 10.3$  Hz). Further *m*-CPBA (60% by wt, 225 mg, 0.78) mmol) was then added, and the resultant mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature to give ~25% conversion to 31:  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) [selected peaks] 2.69−2.74 (1H, m), 2.96−3.01 (1H, m), 3.65 (1H, app dd, J = 12.7, 6.1 Hz), 3.91 (1H, app q, J = 2.3 Hz). Further m-CPBA (60% by wt, 450 mg, 1.56 mmol) was then added, and the resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h.  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>$  (657 mg, 5.21 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred until it solidified (5 min). The resultant mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1 mL) and stirred for a further 30 min. Further  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was then added, and the resultant mixture was washed sequentially with 10% aqueous NaOH  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$  and brine (20 mL) and then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) gave an impure sample of 32 (<10%, main contaminant metachlorobenzoic acid). Data for 32:  $\delta_H$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.30−1.84 (4H, m,  $C(5)H_2$ ,  $C(6)H_2$ ), 3.02 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 7.0 Hz,  $C(4)H$ ), 3.19−3.23 (1H, m), 3.30−3.33 (1H, app d, J = 3.5 Hz), 3.74 (2H, d, J = 13.9 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.80 (2H, d, J = 13.9 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.93–3.96 (1H, m, C(1)H), 4.56 (1H, d, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 4.70 (1H, d, J = 12.0 Hz, OCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 7.22–7.55 (15H, m, Ph).<br>**(1RS,2RS,3SR,4SR)-1-Benzyloxy-2,3-epoxy-4-(N,N-**

dibenzylamino)cyclohexane 33. NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 3 mg, 12  $\mu$ mol) was stirred at room temperature for 20 min in pentane (0.5 mL). The pentane was then decanted under a stream of argon, and DMF (0.1 mL) was added. The resultant suspension was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 25 (18.3 mg, 6.0  $\mu$ mol, >99:1 dr) in DMF (0.1 mL) was added dropwise. The resultant suspension was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, after which time BnBr (14  $\mu$ L, 12  $\mu$ mol) and Bu4NI (cat.) were added sequentially. The resultant mixture was

allowed warm to room temperature, stirred at room temperature for 24 h, and then quenched with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (5 mL). The resultant mixture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $3 \times 8$  mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL) and then dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) gave 33 as a colorless oil (9.2 mg, 40%, >99:1 dr):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film) 3028, 2917, 2849, 1603, 1494, 1264;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.33–1.40 (1H, m, C(6)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.46–1.53 (1H, m, C(5)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.87−1.97 (2H, m, C(5)H<sub>B</sub>, C(6)H<sub>B</sub>), 2.98 (1H, ddd, J = 10.2, 5.1, 1.4 Hz, C(4)H), 3.17−3.29 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.43−3.44  $(H, m, C(3)H)$ , 3.70 (2H, d, J = 14.2 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>R</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.78 (1H, dt, J = 6.1, 2.9 Hz, C(1)H), 3.95 (2H, d, J = 14.2 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 4.64 (1H, d, J = 12.1 Hz, OC $H_A H_B$ Ph), 4.71 (1H, d, J = 12.1 Hz, OCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph), 7.22−7.43 (15H, m, Ph);  $\delta_c$  (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 16.3  $(C(6))$ , 28.2  $(C(5))$ , 51.6  $(C(4))$ , 54.6  $(N(CH_2Ph)_2)$ , 54.9  $(C(2))$ , 55.1 (C(3)), 70.2 (C(1)), 70.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph), 126.7, 127.6, 127.8, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5 (o,m,p-Ph), 138.4, 140.5 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI<sup>+</sup>) 400 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{27}H_{29}NNaO_2^+$  ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>) requires 422.2091; found 422.2087.

(1RS,2SR,3RS,4SR)-2,3-Epoxy-4-(N,N-dibenzylamino) cyclohexan-1-ol 34. Diethyl azodicarboxylate (86  $\mu$ L, 0.55 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 19 (100 mg, 0.33 mmol, >99:1 dr), PPh<sub>3</sub> (169 mg, 0.65 mmol), and PhCO<sub>2</sub>H (59 mg, 0.49 mmol) in THF (3.6 mL) at 0 °C. The resultant mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred at room temperature for 16 h, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (10 mL) and the resultant solution was washed sequentially with saturated aqueous  $\mathrm{Na_{2}SO_{3}}$  (3  $\times$  10 mL) and brine (10 mL) and then dried  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$  and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (10 mL), and  $K_2CO_3$  (223 mg, 1.62 mmol) was added. The resultant suspension was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and then filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ (10 mL), washed sequentially with H<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  10 mL) and brine (10 mL), and then dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo to give 34 in >99:1 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30− 40 °C petrol/Et<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) gave 34 as a colorless oil that solidified to a white solid upon standing (70 mg, 70%, >99:1 dr): mp 111−113 °C;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (film) 3420, 3027, 2948, 2804, 1649, 1493, 1453;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 1.36−1.51 (3H, m, C(5)H<sub>A</sub>, C(6)H<sub>2</sub>), 1.52−1.74 (2H, m,  $C(5)H_B$ , OH), 2.94 (1H, app dd, J = 10.9, 6.4 Hz, C(4)H), 3.05 (1H, br s,  $C(2)H$ ), 3.24 (1H, app d, J = 3.2 Hz,  $C(3)H$ ), 3.65 (2H, d, J = 13.9 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.71 (2H, d, J = 13.9 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 4.14−4.18 (1H, m, C(1)H), 7.13−7.40 (10H, m, Ph);  $\delta_c$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 15.5 (C(5)), 25.0 (C(6)), 52.5 (C(4)), 54.4, 54.7 (C(2),  $N(CH_2Ph)_2$ , 56.1 (C(3)), 64.7 (C(1)), 127.0 (p-Ph), 128.3, 128.4  $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$ , 139.8  $(i\text{-}Ph)$ ;  $m/z$   $(ESI<sup>+</sup>)$  310  $([M + H]<sup>+</sup>$ , 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{20}H_{24}NO_2^+$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>) requires 310.1802; found 310.1813.

(1RS,2SR,3SR,4RS)-4-(N,N-Dibenzylamino)cyclohexane-1,2,3 **triol 39.** H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (138  $\mu$ L, 2.59 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>O (2 drops) were added to a stirred solution of 19 (160 mg, 0.52 mmol, >99:1 dr) in 1,4 dioxane (1.5 mL). The resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and then concentrated in vacuo. Saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) was added to the residue, and the resultant mixture was extracted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>/PrOH$  (v/v 3:1, 4  $\times$  2 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo to give a 75:25 mixture of 39 and 40, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent CHCl<sub>3</sub>/<sup>i</sup>PrOH, 19:1) gave 39 as a colorless oil that solidified to a white solid upon standing (52 mg, 31%, >99:1 dr): mp 92−94 °C; v<sub>max</sub> (film) 3396, 2927, 1494, 1453;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, MeOH-d<sub>4</sub>) 0.98–1.10 (1H, m, C(6)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.29 (1H, app qd, J = 12.5, 3.5 Hz, C(5)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.74–1.88 (2H, m, C(5)H<sub>B</sub>,  $C(6)H_B$ ), 2.34 (1H, ddd, J = 12.5, 10.1, 3.5 Hz,  $C(4)H$ ), 2.88 (1H, app t, J = 8.8 Hz, C(2)H), 3.17−3.25 (1H, m, C(1)H), 3.32 (1H, dd, J = 10.1, 8.8 Hz, C(3)H), 3.36 (2H, d, J = 13.5 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.74 (2H, d, J = 13.5 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 7.08–7.24 (10H, m, Ph);  $\delta_c$ (100 MHz, MeOH- $d_4$ ) 20.7 (C(5)), 31.6 (C(6)), 54.9 (N(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 62.5 (C(4)), 73.6, 73.8 (C(1), C(3)), 80.6 (C(2)), 128.3 (p-Ph), 129.6, 130.2  $(o,m\text{-}Ph)$ , 141.2  $(i\text{-}Ph)$ ;  $m/z$   $(ESI^+)$  328  $([M + H]^+$ , 100%) HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{20}H_{26}NO_3^+$  ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>) requires 328.1907;

found 328.1909. Further elution gave 40 as a colorless oil (13 mg, 8%, >99:1 dr).

(1RS,2RS,3RS,4RS)-4-(N,N-Dibenzylamino)cyclohexane-1,2,3 **triol 40.** H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (60  $\mu$ L, 1.13 mmol) and H<sub>2</sub>O (2 drops) were added to a stirred solution of 13 (70 mg, 0.23 mmol,  $>99:1$  dr) in 1,4-dioxane (1 mL). The resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and then concentrated in vacuo. Saturated aqueous  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (2 mL) was added to the residue, and the resultant mixture was extracted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>/^{\text{h}}PrOH$  (v/v 3:1, 4  $\times$  2 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo to give a 5:75:20 mixture of 39, 40, and 41, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent CHCl<sub>3</sub>/<sup>i</sup>PrOH, 19:1) gave an 80:20 mixture of 40 and 41, respectively, as a colorless oil (20 mg, 27%). Further elution gave 40 as a colorless oil (10 mg, 14%, >99:1 dr):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (film) 3386, 3028, 2938, 1602, 1494, 1453;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>/ D<sub>2</sub>O) 1.49−1.83 (4H, m, C(5)H<sub>2</sub>, C(6)H<sub>2</sub>), 3.09 (1H, dt, J = 11.3, 3.5 Hz, C(4)H), 3.78 (4H, app s, N(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.89–3.97 (1H, m,  $C(3)H$ ), 4.00 (1H, t, J = 3.8 Hz, C(1)H), 4.15–4.21 (1H, m, C(2)H), 7.19−7.36 (10H, m, Ph);  $\delta$ <sub>C</sub> (100 MHz, MeOH- $d$ <sub>4</sub>) 21.7 (C(5)), 29.1  $(C(6))$ , 56.3  $(C(4))$ , 56.5  $(N(CH_2Ph_2)$ , 69.2  $(C(1))$ , 73.9  $(C(2))$ , 75.1 (C(3)), 127.8 (p-Ph), 129.2, 129.9 (o,m-Ph), 142.4 (i-Ph); m/z  $(ESI^+)$  328 ( $[M + H]^+$ , 100%) HRMS ( $ESI^+$ )  $C_{20}H_{26}NO_3^+$  ( $[M +$ H]<sup>+</sup> ) requires 328.1907; found 328.1901.

(1RS,2SR,3SR,4SR)-4-(N,N-Dibenzylamino)cyclohexane-1,2,3 triol 41.  $H_2SO_4$  (146  $\mu$ L, 2.75 mmol) and  $H_2O$  (2 drops) were added to a stirred solution of 25 (170 mg, 0.55 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (1.5 mL). The resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and then concentrated in vacuo. Saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (2 mL) was added to the residue, and the resultant mixture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/<sup>i</sup>PrOH (v/v 3:1, 4  $\times$  2 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$  and concentrated in vacuo to give 41 in >95:5 dr. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent  $CH_2Cl_2$ / MeOH 9:1) gave 41 as a colorless oil (90 mg, 50%, >99:1 dr):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ (film) 3386, 3028, 2925, 1602, 1494, 1453;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, MeOH- $d_4$ ) 1.54−1.60 (1H, dq, J = 13.0, 3.6 Hz, C(5)HA), 1.78−1.82 (2H, m,  $C(6)H_2$ ), 2.11−2.21 (1H, m,  $C(5)H_B$ ), 3.03 (1H, dt, J = 11.9, 3.6 Hz, C(4)H), 3.72−3.73 (1H, m, C(1)H) overlapping 3.73 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 3.85 (1H, t, J = 3.8 Hz, C(2)H), 3.94 (2H, d, J = 14.0 Hz, N(CH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>), 4.09–4.10 (1H, m, C(3)H), 7.17–7.40 (10H, m, Ph);  $\delta_C$  (100 MHz, MeOH-d<sub>4</sub>) 16.9 (C(5)), 28.2 (C(6)), 55.0  $(C(4))$ , 55.3  $(N(CH_2Ph)_2)$ , 70.7  $(C(1))$ , 72.1  $(C(2))$ , 74.4  $(C(3))$ , 126.6 (p-Ph), 128.1, 128.7 (o,m-Ph), 141.4 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI<sup>+</sup>) 328 ( $[M + H]^+$ , 100%); HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>)  $C_{20}H_{26}NO_3^+$  ( $[M + H]^+$ ) requires 328.1907; found 328.1907.

 $(1RS, 2SR, 3SR, 4RS)$ -4-Aminocyclohexane-1,2,3-triol  $[(\pm)$ -Dihydroconduramine B-1] 44.  $Pd(OH)/C$  (20 mg, 50% w/w 39) was added to a stirred solution of 39 (40 mg, 122  $\mu$ mol, >99:1 dr) in MeOH (5 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred at room temperature under  $H_2$  (1 atm) for 14 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give 44 as a colorless oil (18 mg, 72%, >99:1 dr):<sup>30</sup>  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (500 MHz, MeOH- $d_4$ ) 1.18−1.42 (2H, m, C(5)H<sub>A</sub>, C(6)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.79−1.93 (2H, m, C(5)H<sub>B</sub>, C(6)H<sub>B</sub>), 2.57 (1H, ddd, J = 11.6, 9.4, [4.3](#page-9-0) Hz,  $C(4)H$ ), 3.00 (1H, dd, J = 9.4, 9.1 Hz,  $C(3)H$ ), 3.11  $(1H, dd, J = 9.1, 9.0 Hz, C(2)H), 3.38 (1H, dd, J = 11.1, 9.0, 4.6 Hz,$ C(1)H);  $\delta_c$  (125 MHz, MeOH-d<sub>4</sub>) 29.4, 31.3 (C(5), C(6)), 55.3  $(C(4))$ , 74.1, 79.4, 80.0  $(C(1), C(2), C(3))$ .

 $(1RS, 2RS, 3RS, 4RS)$ -4-Aminocyclohexane-1,2,3-triol  $[(\pm)$ -Dihydroconduramine E-1] 45.  $Pd(OH)_2/C$  (7 mg, 50% w/w 40) was added to a stirred solution of 40 (14 mg, 42  $\mu$ mol, >99:1 dr) in MeOH (0.2 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred at room temperature under  $H_2$  (1 atm) for 14 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give 45 as a colorless oil (6 mg, quant, >99:1 dr):<sup>31,32</sup>  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (400 MHz, MeOH-d<sub>4</sub>) 1.39–1.65 (4H, m, C(5)H<sub>2</sub>,  $C(6)H<sub>2</sub>$ ), 3.07 (1H, dt, J = 9.4, 3.3 Hz, C(4)H), 3.74–3.78 (2H, m,  $C(2)H, C(3)H$  $C(2)H, C(3)H$  $C(2)H, C(3)H$  $C(2)H, C(3)H$ , 3.83 (1H, dt, J = 8.9, 3.4 Hz,  $C(1)H$ ).

 $(1RS, 2SR, 3SR, 4SR)$ -4-Aminocyclohexane-1,2,3-triol  $[(\pm)$ -Dihydroconduramine F-1] 46.  $Pd(OH)_2/C$  (12 mg, 50% w/w 41) was added to a stirred solution of 41 (24 mg, 73  $\mu$ mol, >99:1 dr) in <span id="page-9-0"></span>MeOH (3 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred at room temperature under  $H_2$  (1 atm) for 14 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give 46 as a white solid (9 mg, 82%, >99:1 dr):<sup>33</sup> mp 118−122 °C (lit.<sup>33</sup> mp 120−122 °C);  $\delta_H$  (400 MHz, MeOH- $d_4$ ) 1.50−1.72 (2H, m, C(5)H<sub>A</sub>, C(6)H<sub>A</sub>), 1.73−1.84 (2H, m,  $C(5)H_B$ ,  $C(6)H_B$ ), 3.08–3.20 (1H, m,  $C(4)H$ ), 3.38–3.63 (3H, m,  $C(1)H, C(2)H, C(3)H$ ).

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

X-ray crystal structure data for 22, 25, 34, and 39;  $^1\rm H$  NMR spectra for 17/18 mixture, 18, 19, 21, 23/24 mixture, 24, 28, 29, 45, and 46;  $^{1}H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra for 22, 25–27, 33, 34, 39−41, and 44 (PDF). Crystallographic information files for structures CCDC 1028344−1028347 (CIF). The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b00716.

### ■ [AUTHOR INFO](http://pubs.acs.org)RMATI[ON](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b00716)

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail steve.davies@chem.ox.ac.uk.

#### Notes

The aut[hors declare no competing](mailto:steve.davies@chem.ox.ac.uk) financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National University of Ireland for a travelling studentship (M.B.B.) and the Ogden Trust for a bursary (W.D.G.).

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Prileschajew, N. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1909, 42, 4811.

(2) For a review of substrate-directed reactions, see Hoveyda, A. H.; Evans, D. A.; Fu, G. C. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1307.

(3) Henbest, H. B.; Wilson, R. A. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1957, 1958.

(4) Chamberlain, P.; Roberts, M. L.; Whitham, G. H. J. Chem. Soc. B 1970, 1374.

(5) Fukushima, D. K.; Smulowitz, M.; Liang, J. S.; Lukacs, G. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2702.

(6) O'Brien, P.; Childs, A. C.; Ensor, G. J.; Hill, C. L.; Kirby, J. P.;

Dearden, M. J.; Oxenford, S. J.; Rosser, C. M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4955. (7) Kocovsky, P.; Stary, I. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3236.

(8) Brouillette, W. J.; Saeed, A.; Abuelyaman, A.; Hutchison, T. L.; Wolkowicz, P. E.; McMillin, J. B. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4297.

(9) Goodman, L.; Winstein, S.; Boschan, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 4312.

(10) Lukacs, G.; Fukushima, D. K. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2707.

(11) Vince, R.; Daluge, S. J. Med. Chem. 1974, 17, 578.

(12) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Chondrogianni, J.; Edenborough, M. S.; Keeping, J. W.; Ziegler, C. B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 816.

(13) Asensio, G.; Mello, R.; Boix-Bernardini, C.; Gonzàlez-Núñez, M. E.; Castellano, G. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3692.

(14) Edwards, A. S.; Wybrow, R. A. J.; Johstone, C.; Adams, H.; Harrity, J. P. A. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1542.

(15) Aggarwal, V. K.; Fang, G. Y. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3448.

(16) Bäckvall, J.-E.; Oshima, K.; Palermo, R. E.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1953.

(17) Whitten, J. P.; McCarthy, J. R.; Whalon, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 4399.

(18) For reviews, see (a) Kurosawa, W.; Roberts, P. M.; Davies, S. G. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi (J. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn.) 2010, 68, 1295. (b) Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4544.

(19) Aciro, C.; Claridge, T. D. W.; Davies, S. G.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3751.

(20) Aciro, C.; Davies, S. G.; Kurosawa, W.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1333.

(21) Parker, R. E.; Isaacs, N. S. Chem. Rev. 1959, 59, 737.

(22) Addy, J. K.; Parker, R. E. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 915.

(23) Fürst, A.; Plattner, P. A. 12th International Congress of Pure

and Applied Chemistry: Abstracts of Papers, New York, N.Y. September 10 to 13, 1951; p 409.

(24) Bagal, S. K.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Scott, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 136.

(25) Bagal, S. K.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Scott, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8133.

(26) Bagal, S. K.; Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Scott, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 2216.

(27) Csatayova, K.; Davies, S. G.; Ford, J. G.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. ́ M.; Thomson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12397.

(28) Cresswell, A. J.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Morris, M. J.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 7262.

(29) Brennan, M. B.; Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Thomson, J. E. Aust. J. Chem. 2015, 68, 610.

(30) Łysek, R.; Schütz, C.; Vogel, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 2005, 88, 2788. (31) Pandey, G.; Tiwari, K. N.; Puranik, V. G. Org. Lett. 2008, 10,

3611.

(32) Ahmad, S.; Thomas, L. H.; Sutherland, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 2801.

(33) Łysek, R.; Schütz, C.; Favre, S.; O'Sullivan, A. C.; Pillonel, C.; Krülle, T.; Jung, P. M. J.; Clotet-Codina, I.; Esté, J. A.; Vogel, P. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 6255.

(34) Addition of  $\text{Cl}_{3}\text{CCO}_{2}\text{H}$  to a solution of 15 in  $\text{CD}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}$  (0.36 M) resulted in a change of chemical shift of the vinylic protons and  $C(3)$ . The change in chemical shift with increasing amount of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$ (versus the free amine) reaches a plateau around 10 equiv, consistent with the ammonium species 20 being predominant under these conditions. This method to determine the amount of  $Cl<sub>3</sub>CCO<sub>2</sub>H$  (or any Brønsted acid) required to efficiently protect the nitrogen atom against oxidation has been shown to give excellent agreement with results derived from experiment (see ref 19).

(35) Full crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication numbers CCDC 1028344 (22), 1028345 (25), 1028346 (34), and 1028347 (39). Copies of these data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam. ac.uk/data\_request/cif.

(36) Bond, C. W.; Cresswell, A. J.; Davies, S. G.; Kurosawa, W.; Lee, J. A.; Fletcher, A. M.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; [Smith, A. D.;](www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) [Thomson, J. E.](www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6735.

(37) Oxidation of trans-5-tert-butylcyclohex-2-enol has been reported to proceed in 84:16 dr with either peroxybenzoic acid in benzene at 5  $^{\circ}$ C (ref 4) or *m*-CPBA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0  $^{\circ}$ C: McKittrick, B. A.; Ganem, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4895.

(38) The assigned relative configuration within 32 is consistent with epoxidation of N-oxide 29 proceeding on the face anti to both the benzyloxy group and N-oxide moiety, presumably to minimize unfavourable steric and/or electronic (dipole−dipole) interactions.

(39) The successful epoxidation of a related substrate (i.e., bearing two allylic, nondirecting, heteroatomic groups) has been reported, however, the reaction required refluxing for 3 weeks; see Baran, A.; Balci, M. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 88.

(40) Payne, G. B. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 3819.

(41) For related examples, see (a) Wolinsky, J.; Thorstenson, J. H.; Killinger, T. A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 875. (b) Calvani, F.; Crotti, P.; Gardelli, C.; Pineschi, M. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 12999.

(42) Aciro, C.; Davies, S. G.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Smith, A. D.; Thomson, J. E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3762.

(43) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518.

(44) Swern, D. Org. React. 1953, VII, 392.

(45) Betteridge, P. W.; Carruthers, J. R.; Cooper, R. I.; Prout, C. K.; Watkin, D. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 1487.